Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/523,301	HUGHES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	/Peter A. Hruskoci/	1797
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.	(3)	
(2) <u>Shiela A. Loggins</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 May&2 June 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 7, and 10-12		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 5/28/09, Shiela A. Loggins agreed to E-file a statement of common ownership at the time the invention was made, to disqualify application 10/523,230 and US Patent 7,455,997 as prior art under 35 USC 103(c), in accordance with MPEP 706.02. On 6/2/09, it was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment for the sake of clarity and accuracy..